

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,147	09/17/2003	Jaime Navarrete	2001.45	4161
29494	7590 06/09/2005		EXAM	INER
ROBERT H. HAMMER III, P.C.			DOVE, TRACY MAE	
3121 SPRING SUITE I	GBANK LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28226			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 00/00/200	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(k)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	10/664,147 NAVARRETE, JAIME		JAIME
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tracy Dove	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered ti DNTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	March 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the 4 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are withden 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) Ali b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in	Application No	nal Stage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.	
tachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		y Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/6/05.</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (	PTO-152)

JK

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 3/21/05. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but art not persuasive. Claims 1-7 and 9-14 are pending. Claims 10-14 are withdrawn and claim 8 has been canceled. This Action is made FINAL, as necessitated by amendment.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4/6/05 has been considered by the examiner.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the last two lines of claim 4 are identical to the third and fourth lines of claim 4. The last two lines of claim 4 (top of page 3 of the amendment) should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims recite the "grass lignin being selected from the group consisting of:

Art Unit: 1745

bagasse, straw ...", which is not supported by the specification as filed. The specification states "grass sources of lignin include, but are not limited to, bagasse, straw ..." at page 6, lines 12-14. These materials are sources of lignin, not the lignin. If the claims are amended to recite "said grass lignin source being selected from...", such an amendment would be entered after final (if no other substantial claim amendments are made).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Navarrete et al., WO 02/28955 A2.

Navarrete teaches a lead acid battery separator comprising a microporous membrane including an ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE), a filler, a processing oil and a lignin (abstract). Grass lignins are disclosed at page 1. The lignin is added to the UHMWPE battery separator to reduce antimony poisoning (top of page 3). The membrane generally comprises about 15-25 wt% UHMWPE, 50-80 wt% filler, 0-25 wt% process oil and 5-20 wt% lignin (top of page 5). The microporous membrane has an average pore size in the range of about 0.1 to about 1.0 micron and a porosity greater than 10% (bottom of page 4). The pore structure is referred to as an open cell structure (top of page 5). The filler may be precipitated silica or oxide compounds (page 5) and the processing oil may be mineral oil, olefinic oil or

parafinic oil (top of page 6). Grass lignins may be obtained from rice (straw), corn or sugar cane (bagasse) (page 1).

Thus the claims are anticipated.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Navarrete does not disclose the use of grass lignins. However, the source used to produce the lignin is considered a product-by-process limitation. Product-byprocess limitations, in the absence of unexpected results, are not given patentable weight. Lignin is generally accepted to be a three dimensional, crosslinked polymer comprised of three different phenyl propenol moieties. Applicant must show that the lignin produced from a grass source is structurally different from the lignin of Navarrete. Furthermore, Navarrete teaches the use of lignins in thermoplastics and lignins are added to a lead acid battery separator (4:1st). Navarrete teaches lignins produced from grass sources are known (page 1). Navarrete teaches and suggests lignins for battery separators.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after Art Unit: 1745

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRACY DOVE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 1, 2005